

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/643,403	08/19/2003	Venkat Selvamanickam	#216 (3724)	3998
7590 05/19/2005			EXAMINER	
Tracey R. Loughlin			KUNEMUND, ROBERT M	
DOUGHERTY, CLEMETS & HOFER Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1901 Roxborough Road			1722	
Charlotte, NC 28211			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/643,403	SELVAMANICKAM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert M. Kunemund	1722	
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum staturents of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON II, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .		
· · · ·	on o)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition fo	' —	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice	•	-	
Disposition of Claims		,	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the ap	olication		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	maram nom concretation.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,18-32 and 37-42</u> is/are i	rejected.	·	
7) Claim(s) <u>14-17 and 33-36</u> is/are object			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction			
Amaliastica Danasa			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a			
Applicant may not request that any objection		` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,403

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 to 11 and 24 to 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reade et al (Appl. Phys. Lett. Vol 80).

The Reade et al reference teaches a method of creating a superconductor, note entire reference. On a metal substrate, which is flexible, an amorphous layer is first deposited. The layer can be yttria-stablizized zirconia. The amorphous is then subjected to an ion beam treatment; where oxygen is a component of the beam, page 1353. The temperature of this treatment is 60°c. The beam hits the layer at an angle. The layer is then converted on the surface up to 10nm, to a biaxially textured material,

Art Unit: 1722

page 1353. Then a superconductor is deposited onto on the layer, page 1354. The sole difference between the instant claims and the prior art is the angle of beam. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentations the optimum, operable angle of incident in the Reade et al reference in order to create the texture uniformly over the surface.

Claims 12 to 23, 31, 32, and 27 to 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reade et al (Appl. Phys. Lett. Vol 80).

The Reade et al reference is relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differ from the instant claims in superconductor and its use. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentations the optimum, operable superconductor and use in the Reade et al reference in order to increase the effectiveness of the structure.

Claims 14 to 17, and 33 to 36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Kunemund whose telephone number is 571-272-1464. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,403 Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ben Utech can be reached on 571-272-1137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RMK

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER